IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

KENNETH CHAPMAN,

No. C 13-02301 YGR (PR)

VS.

FRED FOULK, Acting Warden,

Respondent.

Petitioner,

ORDER GRANTING IN FORMA
PAUPERIS STATUS AND DIRECTING
RESPONDENT TO SHOW CAUSE WHY
THE PETITION SHOULD NOT BE
GRANTED

Petitioner, a state prisoner, has filed this petition for a writ of habeas corpus pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254. Petitioner also seeks leave to proceed *in forma pauperis*. It does not appear from the face of the petition that it is without merit. Good cause appearing, the Court hereby issues the following orders:

- 1. Petitioner's application to proceed *in forma pauperis* is GRANTED.
- 2. The Clerk of the Court shall serve a copy of this Order and the petition and all attachments thereto (Docket No. 5)¹ upon Respondent and Respondent's attorney, the Attorney General of the State of California. The Clerk shall also serve a copy of this Order on Petitioner at his current address.
- 3. Respondent shall file with this Court and serve upon Petitioner, within **sixty** (**60**) **days** of the issuance of this Order, an Answer conforming in all respects to Rule 5 of the Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases, showing cause why a writ of habeas corpus should not be issued. Respondent shall file with the Answer a copy of all portions of the relevant state records that have been transcribed previously and that are relevant to a determination of the issues presented by the petition.
- 4. If Petitioner wishes to respond to the Answer, he shall do so by filing a Traverse with the Court and serving it on Respondent within **sixty (60) days** of his receipt of the Answer. Should

¹ The Court notes that two petitions were filed in this case. (Docket Nos. 3, 5.) However, these petitions are identical. Therefore, the Court considers the more recently-filed petition, Docket No. 5, as the operative petition in this action.

Petitioner fail to do so, the petition will be deemed submitted and ready for decision **sixty** (60) **days** after the date Petitioner is served with Respondent's Answer.

- 5. Respondent may file with this Court and serve upon Petitioner, within **sixty** (**60**) **days** of the issuance of this Order, a motion to dismiss on procedural grounds in lieu of an Answer, as set forth in the Advisory Committee Notes to Rule 4 of the Rules Governing Section 2254 Cases. If Respondent files such a motion, Petitioner shall file with the Court and serve on Respondent an opposition or statement of non-opposition to the motion within **sixty** (**60**) **days** of receipt of the motion, and Respondent shall file with the Court and serve on Petitioner a reply within **fourteen** (**14**) **days** of receipt of any opposition.
- 6. It is Petitioner's responsibility to prosecute this case. Petitioner must keep the Court and Respondent informed of any change of address and must comply with the Court's orders in a timely fashion. Pursuant to Northern District Local Rule 3-11 a party proceeding *pro se* whose address changes while an action is pending must promptly file a notice of change of address specifying the new address. *See* L.R. 3-11(a). The Court may dismiss without prejudice a complaint when: (1) mail directed to the *pro se* party by the Court has been returned to the Court as not deliverable, and (2) the Court fails to receive within sixty days of this return a written communication from the *pro se* party indicating a current address. *See* L.R. 3-11(b); *see also Martinez v. Johnson*, 104 F.3d 769, 772 (5th Cir. 1997) (Rule 41(b) applicable in habeas cases).

Petitioner must also serve on Respondent's counsel all communications with the Court by mailing a true copy of the document to Respondent's counsel.

- 7. Extensions of time are not favored, though reasonable extensions will be granted. Any motion for an extension of time must be filed no later than **fourteen (14) days** prior to the deadline sought to be extended.
 - 8. This Order terminates Docket no. 1. IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED: June 25, 2013

VØNNE GONZALEZ ROGERS JNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE